Disaster Risk Financing & Insurance Program



Putting DRF into practice: Data and DRF strategies

Analysis to Action: An Executive Education Program on Disaster Risk Finance in Africa

2 – 6 September 2019 Stellenbosch University



Remember Four Core Principles of DRF





How **money reaches beneficiaries is as important** as where it comes from





To make sound financial decisions you need to have the right information

Fundamentals of DRF analytics

Basic concepts, DRF decisions and 5 step analytics workflow



DRF Decisions Require Quantitative Analytics



Combines disaster risk information with financial methodologies and assumptions to support informed decision making

.

•

.

•

•

•

.

What is DRF Analytics?

> Standardized approach of proven statistical and economic methodologies to conduct financial analyses to support governments in fiscal decisions related to disaster risk

Bridges the gap between disaster risk data and risk-informed decision making

•

.

•

.

.

.

.

Why is DRF Analytics important to financial decision makers? DRF Analytics empowers stakeholders to take riskinformed financial protection decisions, based on sound financial and economic analyses



Interpret data & understand fiscal exposure Appraise, evaluate & monitor DRF decisions Transparent & open decisionmaking process Communication tool to gain common understanding amongst different stakeholders



Data Requirements

Reliable data required to produce quality quantitative information



Analytics translates data into useful information



10





Risk / Loss

Data



Macro-economic Data



Fiscal / Financial Data



II. Where Can You Find the Data?



Government Agencies



Public Sources

Humanitarian Organizations



Risk Model Firms

Insurance Companies

MODULE 3 Fiscal Impact Assessment

Estimating the fiscal impact using probabilistic risk assessments



Exercise One: Estimating Potential Costs

As the Minister of Finance, you are developing a DRF strategy to manage the cost of future disasters. You want to understand the potential disaster-related costs the government could face. The primary financial driver of disaster response is supporting people who are affected. Historical records on the number of people impacted by disasters from 2004 to 2018 are available. This historical information has been used by a technical colleague to complete an analysis ('probabilistic risk assessment') of the financial cost of the impact of disasters.

You have been presented with a simple quantitative tool to evaluate the potential costs

based on the historical number of people affected by disasters.

Exercise One

Estimated disasters of different magnitude Estimated average annual cost of disasters Cost of disasters Cost of disasters

18

Risk Assessment



\$100 cost per

Assessment



Technical statistical analysis using limited historical data

What do the actuaries do?



Estimating 10,000 potential future events (or less/more) from a limited number of historical events



Statistical measurements (such as average annual loss) quantified based on the estimated potential future events

TAKE OUT YOUR LAPTOP (one between three is fine)



Tool link:

https://mumftp.mpsinteractive.com/WBG_ DRF/World_Bank_DRF_Analytics_Tool/

Password: passwd1

EXERCISE 1: FISCAL IMPACT ASSESSMENT

View background information



The relief cost per person is a Government input that could be estimated using expenditure information from the most recent 2016 event and other available information.



Vary the relief **cost per person** using the slider to determine the:

- Estimated average annual cost of disasters; and
- Estimated cost of a **1 in 10-year disaster event**.

DRF Analytics Exercise



Take 10 minutes to vary the inputs and evaluate the results A. Assuming relief costs of US\$50 per person?

B. Assuming relief costs of US\$200 per person?

Exercise One: Solution A

Assuming relief costs of US\$50

- Estimated average annual cost is **\$15million**
- A 1-in-10 year disaster event costs US\$39 million in any given year.



Exercise One: Solution B

Assuming relief costs of US\$200

- Estimated average annual cost is \$62 million
- A 1-in-10 year disaster event costs US\$157 million in any given year.



Accounting for Uncertainty (lack of data)



Quantity and quality of data is critical to reduce the levels of uncertainty in the fitted distributions. More data reduces confidence bands, and therefore increases confidence in estimates

26



Evaluating a probabilistic risk assessment

Using risk assessment information to support decisions

MODULE 4 Informing Fiscal Decisions

DRF Instruments and managing the disaster related funding gap



• How much funding will be required post disaster [Module 2]

• When will funds be required, Where will the funds comes from and What is the funding gap [Module 3]

Overview



Exercise Two: Assessing Funding Gap

You are now developing a DRF strategy to better manage your estimated disaster costs. There is a US\$15 million reserve fund in place to meet post disaster expenditures.

A technical colleague has prepared a quantitative tool to support you in evaluating the funding gap and to assess the impact of different financial instruments available to you. The options available include the following:

Exercise Two



[You have access to two insurance options of equal cost: option a) provides cover for moderate and extreme events; and option b) provides higher cover for extreme events only.]

Two

FUNDING OF ESTIMATED RELIEF COSTS

(Funding gap highlighted in red. Quantified in absolute terms and percentage of total relief cost.)



Remember the estimated 1 in 10-year cost of US\$79 million from exercise one (with relief costs of US\$100 per person)

Note: The average included here is the funding of the average cost rather than the average funding used.

DRF Analytics Exercise Two

Evaluate the following options:

- A. Increase in reserve fund to US\$50 million;
- B. Keep the reserve fund to US\$25 million **and** take out a contingent line of credit for US\$25 million;
- C. Increase in reserve fund to US\$25 million **and** take out a contingent line of credit for US\$25 million **and** take out an insurance policy for extreme events.

Note: It is assumed that the contingent credit will only be drawn down once the reserve fund is fully used.



What is the impact of this strategy on the funding gap during the: average year, 1 in 10 year, 1 in 50 year?

Would this strategy have provided enough funding for the event in 2018?

How do the outcomes compare across the three strategies?

Exercise Two: Solution A



FUNDING OF ESTIMATED RELIEF COSTS

(Funding gap highlighted in red. Quantified in absolute terms and percentage of total relief cost.)



Note: The average included here is the funding of the average cost rather than the average funding used.

Funding gap reduces

2

Is this an efficient allocation of limited government resources?

Exercise Two: Solution B

1. SELECT LEVEL OF RESERVE FUND IN PLACE

\$25 million 2. SELECT LEVEL OF CONTINGENT CREDIT IN PLACE

\$25 million

FUNDING OF ESTIMATED RELIEF COSTS

(Funding gap highlighted in red. Quantified in absolute terms and percentage of total relief cost.)



Note: The average included here is the funding of the average cost rather than the average funding used.

Funding gap reduces further

However, for extreme events there are still significant unfunded costs that will need to be covered using ex-post resources that can be both slow to arrange and costly

Exercise Two: Solution C



FUNDING OF ESTIMATED RELIEF COSTS

(Funding gap highlighted in red. Quantified in absolute terms and percentage of total relief cost.)



Note: The average included here is the funding of the average cost rather than the average funding used.



Exercise Two: Group Discussion

This exercise included two alternative insurance options; both of which have an equal cost.

What is the difference between Insurance Option A and Insurance Option B for the Government? What do you notice with the funding gap?

Take 10mins to discuss

Evaluating the Cost of Sovereign DRF Strategies



Framework to evaluate sovereign DRF strategies

Exercise Three: Evaluating Optimal Funding Mix

Exercise Three

You are developing a DRF strategy to be better prepared financially to manage future relief costs in the event of a disaster. You are trying to understand the optimal DRF strategy to finance future disasters. Remember, the estimated cost of the 2018 event was US\$122 million.

A technical colleague has prepared a quantitative tool to support you in evaluating the total cost of alternative DRF strategies based on required finance from the 2018 event of US\$122 million.

The financial instruments available include:







DRF Analytics Exercise Three

Use the quantitative tool to evaluate the following scenarios:

- A. The insurance cost is low (soft market) and the cost of borrowing is high (concessional rate spread offered is low)
 - No disaster occurs
 - 2018 disaster occurs
- B. The insurance cost is high (hard market) and the cost of borrowing is low (concessional rate spread offered is high)
 - No disaster occurs
 - 2018 disaster occurs



DRF Analytics Exercise Three

Take 15 minutes to vary the inputs and evaluate the results



PRE-ARRANGED TOTAL FINANCE \$122 million



Exercise Three: Solution A

Introduction to DRF Analytics



2. SELECT THE CONCESSIONAL RATE SPREAD

High (5% spread)

2018 Disaster Occurs

3. SELECT THE EVENT OCCURRENCE

160

140 120

100

80 60

Million 20 69

40 -----

80

Exercise Three: Solution B



100% Contingent Credit 50% Contingent Credit 25% Contingent Credit 0% Contingent Credit 10% Insurance 75% Insurance 100% Insurance





Each group to be given a stakeholder who cares about managing a specific risk

5 minute discussion on how this might change the conclusions again

Quick feedback

Challenging DRF Analytics Information

What is the **purpose and significance** of the analytics information; including the consequences and implications of the its use in decision-making?

2.

1.

How sensitive is the analytics information to changes in assumptions?

3. W

Who has prepared the analytics information and have they any incentive to mislead?

4.

Has the analytics information been **peer reviewed** by a technical expert?

Commissioning DRF Analytics

Provider	How can a Government Contract with Provider
Multilateral Development Banks	 Technical assistance provided through development loans or through trust funds These could be bank executed or recipient executed
Donors	 Technical assistance provided through donor projects Services often provided to Governments at no cost through donor funded projects
Insurance Companies	 Analytics information provided to support design and selection of an insurance contract Fees are often paid for through insurance premiums
Financial Intermediary	 Analytics information provided to support design, selection and execution of a financial instrument Fees are often paid for through commission
Brokers	 Analytics information provided to support selection and execution of a financial instrument Fees are often paid for through commission.
Consultants	 Technical support provided under an individual or firm contract Fees could be time based or fixed fee and negotiated between the individual/firm and the Government.

Monitoring of DRF Decisions

should monitor the decision. Monitoring can take place at different stages such as:

After a DRF instrument, strategy or program has been implemented stakeholders

When fiscal conditions change When market conditions change

FUNDING OF ESTIMATED RELIEF COSTS

(Funding gap highlighted in red. Quantified in absolute terms and percentage of total relief cost.)



Tools to assess funding gaps and the costs of DRF strategies



Module 4 Recap



Challenging and commission DRF analytics